

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,444	03/19/2001	Alfred Busch	CM2107/DO	2144
27752	7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
	CTER & GAMBLE C TUAL PROPERTY DIV	KUMAR, PREETI		
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1751	
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAIL ED: 07/29/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/787,444	BUSCH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Preeti Kumar	1751			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11-13 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 1,4,9 and 11-13 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6 and 8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers.	wn from consideration.				
	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/787,444 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The rejection of claims 1, 4, 6 and 8-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler et al. (US 6,268,196) in view of Cao et al. (US 6,025,316) is withdrawn upon further consideration of the references in light of applicant's arguments.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1, 4, 6, 9 and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. (WO 98/00500) in view of Cao et al. (US 6,025,316) is withdrawn upon further consideration of the references in light of applicant's arguments.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed April 19, 2004, with respect to Fowler et al. (US 6,268,196) in view of Cao et al. (US 6,025,316) and Jones et al. (WO 98/00500) in view of Cao et al. (US 6,025,316) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration of the amendment, a new ground(s) of objection is required.

New Ground(s) of Objection

Claim Objections

4. Claims 6 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:
Claim 6 is dependent on cancelled claim 5. Appropriate correction is required
Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent
form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 8 is
dependent on claim 1 however the limitation to a weak bond in claim 8 is not further
limiting the limitation to a covalent bond in claim 1. A limitation to a covalent bond in the

Application/Control Number: 09/787,444

Art Unit: 1751

independent claim and then limitation to a weak bond in the dependent claim is contradictory. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 1, 4, 9, 11-13 are indicated allowable over the prior art of record.

A pertinent prior art of record is Fowler et al. (US 6,268,196). However, Fowler et al. do not teach a laundry detergent composition comprising an enzyme having a cellulose binding domain and a benefit agent covalently linked to the enzyme binding domain as recited by the instant claims. Also, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to formulate a laundry detergent composition comprising an enzyme having a cellulose binding domain and a benefit agent covalently linked to the enzyme binding domain because the prior art teaching of Fowler et al. illustrate a laundry detergent composition comprising a truncated cellulase wherein the truncated cellulase lacks a cellulase binding domain.

Another pertinent prior art of record is Jones et al. (WO 98/00500). However, Jones et al. do not teach a laundry detergent composition comprising an enzyme having a cellulose binding domain and a benefit agent covalently linked to the enzyme binding domain via a PEG derivative linker as recited by the instant claims. Also, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to formulate a laundry detergent composition comprising an enzyme having a cellulose binding domain and a benefit agent covalently linked to the enzyme binding domain because the prior art teaching of Jones et al. illustrate a laundry detergent composition

Application/Control Number: 09/787,444

Art Unit: 1751

comprising an enzyme having a cellulase binding domain covalently attached to nonamino acid linking agents.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of objection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

MARGARET EINSMANN
PEMARY EXAMINER
POUP 1100